

HADAMARD STATES FOR MAXWELL THEORY BY PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS¹

Simone Murro

*Department of Mathematics
University of Genoa*

Heriot-Watt Analysis Seminar

October 10, 2023



¹joint project with Gabriel Schmid, Ph.D. student in Genoa

SETTING

- *Spacetime* is a globally hyperbolic manifold:

$$M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \quad g = -\beta^2 dt^2 + h_t$$

- *Maxwell fields* are $A \in \Omega^1(M)$ subordinated to

$$PA = \delta dA = 0$$

DIFFICULTIES

- The operator P is 'hyperbolic' modulo a gauge transformation

$$A \mapsto A' = A + df \implies PA = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \square A' = 0 \\ \delta A' = 0 \end{cases}$$

HOW CAN WE QUANTIZE IT?

\hookrightarrow what does it mean "to quantize a theory"?

The algebraic approach to quantum field theory I/II

- Let $M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ be globally hyperbolic
- Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ be (complex) scalar field satisfying

$$P\varphi = (-\square + m^2)\varphi = 0$$

CLASSICAL THEORY

- The *Cauchy problem* is well-posed:

$$C_c^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_c^\infty(\Sigma) =: \mathcal{V}_\Sigma \simeq \ker P$$

- There exists *Green operators* $G^\pm : C_c^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$

$$G^\pm P|_{C_c^\infty(M)} = PG^\pm = Id \quad \text{supp}(G^\pm f) \subset J^\pm(\text{supp}f)$$

- *Phase space* is characterized using the causal propagator $G := G^+ - G^-$

$$\mathcal{V}_P := \frac{C_c^\infty(M)}{PC_c^\infty(M)} \simeq \ker P$$

The algebraic approach to quantum field theory II/II

The phase space comes together with a *charge*, i.e. Hermitian form

$$q(g, f) = (g, iGf) := \int_M \bar{g}(x)(iGf)(x) \text{vol}_g$$

QUANTUM THEORY

Step 1: assign to any $v \in \mathcal{V}_P$ an abstract element of the algebra $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_P, q)$

$$\text{generators: } \Phi(v) \quad \Phi^*(v) \quad \mathbb{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CCR relations: } \quad & [\Phi(v), \Phi(w)] = [\Phi^*(v), \Phi^*(w)] = 0 \\ & [\Phi(v), \Phi^*(w)] = q(v, w)\mathbb{1} \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Construct an **Hadamard states** $\omega : \text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_P, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\text{covariances: } \Lambda^+(v, w) := \omega(\Phi(v)\Phi^*(w)) \quad \Lambda^-(v, w) := \omega(\Phi^*(w)\Phi(v))$$

$$\text{Hadamard conditions: } \text{WF}'(\Lambda^\pm) \subset \mathcal{N}^\pm \times \mathcal{N}^\pm \quad \text{where: } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^+ \cup \mathcal{N}^-$$

\hookrightarrow Physical admissibility \iff microlocal analysis

DEFINITION: Let $u \in D'(M)$ be a distribution. We call

- **singular support** of u

$$\text{singsupp}(u) = \{p \in M \mid \nexists O \ni p \text{ such that } u|_O \in C^\infty(O)\}.$$

- **wavefront set** of u

$$WF(u) = \{(p, k) \in T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid p \in \text{singsupp}(u) \text{ and } k \in \Sigma_p(u)\},$$

where $\Sigma_p(u) = \cap_{\rho} \Sigma(\rho u)$ with $\rho(p) \neq 0$ and

$$\Sigma(\rho u) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid \nexists \text{ a conic } V \ni k \text{ such that}$$

$$|\widehat{\rho u}|(k') \leq C_N(1 + |k'|)^{-N}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall k' \in V\}.$$

EXAMPLE: Dirac delta distribution $\delta(x)$:

$$\begin{cases} \text{singsupp}(\delta) = \{0\} \\ (\widehat{\rho\delta})(k) = \rho(0) \end{cases} \implies WF(\delta) = \{(0, k)\}$$

DEFINITION: Let $u \in D'(M)$ be a distribution. We call

- **singular support** of u

$$\text{singsupp}(u) = \{p \in M \mid \nexists O \ni p \text{ such that } u|_O \in C^\infty(O)\}.$$

- **wavefront set** of u

$$WF(u) = \{(p, k) \in T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid p \in \text{singsupp}(u) \text{ and } k \in \Sigma_p(u)\},$$

where $\Sigma_p(u) = \bigcap_{\rho} \Sigma(\rho u)$ with $\rho(p) \neq 0$ and

$$\Sigma(\rho u) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid \exists \text{ a conic } V \ni k \text{ such that}$$

$$|\widehat{\rho u}(k')| \leq C_N (1 + |k'|)^{-N}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall k' \in V\}.$$

EXAMPLE: Covariance of an Hadamard state Λ^\pm

$$WF(\Lambda^\pm) = \{(x, k_x, y, k_y) \in T^*M \times T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid (x, k_x) \sim (y, -k_y), \pm k_x \triangleright 0\}$$

$$WF'(\Lambda^\pm) := \{(x, k_x, y, -k_y) \in T^*M \times T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid (x, k_x, y, k_y) \in WF(\Lambda^\pm)\}$$

RECIPE FOR CONSTRUCTING HADAMARD STATES

0) For simplicity we work on *ultrastatic spacetimes*, i.e. $g = -dt^2 + h$

1) Replace the phase space (\mathcal{V}_P, q) with the space of initial data $(\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_\Sigma)$

$$\rho G : (\mathcal{V}_P, q) \xrightarrow[\text{unitary}]{\cong} (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_\Sigma) \quad q_\Sigma(\cdot, \cdot) := (\cdot, iG_\Sigma \cdot) \quad G = (\rho G)^* G_\Sigma (\rho G)$$

2) Construct an 'approximate' *square root of the (positive) Laplacian*:

$$\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon = \mathbb{1} \quad \varepsilon^2 = \Delta + r_{-\infty} \quad (\Psi\text{DO-calculus})$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

microlocal factorization of $\square = (\partial_t + i\varepsilon)(\partial_t - i\varepsilon) - r_{-\infty}$ (smoothing op.)

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\pi^\pm := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \pm \varepsilon^{-1} \\ \pm \varepsilon & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

microlocal factorization of $U_\square = U_{(\partial_t + i\varepsilon)} \pi^+ + U_{(\partial_t - i\varepsilon)} \pi^-$

THEOREM [Gérard, Wrochna]: $\Lambda^\pm(f, g) := (f, \lambda^\pm g)$, $\lambda^\pm := \pm i^{-1} U_\square \pi^\pm \circ (\rho G)$
 are pseudo-covariances for a quasifree Hadamard state $\omega : \text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_P, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

INTERMEZZO II: pseudodifferential calculus

The *differential* operator $d/dx : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ikx} k \hat{f}(k) dk$$

hence a m -order differential operator A can be written as

$$Pf(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ikx} p(x, k) \hat{f}(k) dk \quad p(x, k) = \sum_{\alpha \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) k^{\alpha}$$

The **Kohn-Nirenberg quantization** is the natural generalization

$$S_{1,0}^m \ni p(x, k) \mapsto P(x, \frac{d}{dx}) := Op(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ik(x-y)} p(x, k) f(y) dy dk \in \Psi^m(\mathbb{R})$$

where the symbol $p(x, k)$ is promoted to a smooth function in the class

$$S_{1,0}^m := \left\{ p \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \mid \left| \frac{d^{\alpha}}{dx^{\alpha}} \frac{d^{\beta}}{dk^{\beta}} (p(x, k)) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle k \rangle^{m-|\beta|} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

INTERMEZZO II: pseudodifferential calculus

NICE PROPERTIES:

- The Ψ DO–calculus transforms covariantly under local diffeomorphisms:
 - $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ diffeomorphism
 - $U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ precompact open sets and $\chi_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $\chi_i|_{U_i} = 1$ \Rightarrow For $A \in \Psi^m(U_1)$ we have $\chi_1 A \psi^*(\chi_2 u) = B u \in \Psi^m(U_2)$
 \Rightarrow the definition of Ψ DO extends on smooth manifolds
- Let $S^{-\infty} := \bigcap_m S_{1,0}^m$ and $\Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ accordingly:
 - $\Rightarrow A : D'(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is *smoothing* if and only if $A \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$
 - $\Rightarrow WF(Au) = \emptyset$ for any $u \in D'(M)$
- If M compact and $A \in \Psi^m(M)$ and $B \in \Psi^n(M)$
 - $\Rightarrow A \circ B \in \Psi^{m+n}$
 - \Rightarrow For polyhomogeneous symbols i.e. $\sigma_P \sim \sum_j \alpha_j k^j \Rightarrow \sigma_{AB} = \sigma_A \circ \sigma_B \in S_{ph}^{m+n}$

The Ψ DO–calculus can be extended on *manifolds of bounded geometry*

CONSTRUCTION OF AN 'APPROXIMATE' SQUARE ROOT OF THE LAPLACIAN

(sketch of the proof)

- Let $M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with Σ of bounded geometry
- The closure of the Laplacian $\bar{\Delta}$ with domain $H^2(\Sigma)$ is self-adjoint on $L^2(\Sigma)$
- We fix $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi(0) = 1$ and set $\chi_R(\lambda) = \chi(R^{-1}\lambda)$ for $R \geq 1$
- We get $\chi_R(\bar{\Delta}) \in \Psi^{-\infty}(\Sigma)$ and we set $r_{-\infty} = R\chi_R(\bar{\Delta})$
- By the spectral calculus we find $R > 1$ s. t. $\bar{\Delta} + r_{-\infty}$ is m -accretive
- By standard results of Kato, $\bar{\Delta} + r_{-\infty}$ has a unique m -accretive square root

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon^* \quad \exists! \varepsilon^{-1} \in \Psi^{-1} \quad \varepsilon^2 = \bar{\Delta} + r_{-\infty}$$

□

HOW TO QUANTIZE MAXWELL'S THEORY?

↪ as a gauge theory

Step 1: Construct the **classical phase space**

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 i(\cdot, G_1 \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_1} =: q_1, \mathcal{V}_P := \frac{\ker(\delta)}{\text{ran}(P)} & \xrightarrow{[G_1]} & \frac{\ker(P)}{\text{ran}(d)} \\
 \text{unitary} \downarrow [\rho_1 G_1] & \searrow [G_1] & \uparrow [U] \\
 i(\cdot, G_{1\Sigma} \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_{\rho_1}} =: q_{1\Sigma}, \mathcal{V}_\Sigma := \frac{\ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)}{\text{ran}(K_\Sigma)} & \xrightarrow{[U_1]} & \frac{\ker(D_1) \cap \ker(\delta)}{d(\ker(D_0))}
 \end{array}$$

where

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_1} := \int_M g^{-1}(\bar{\cdot}, \cdot) \text{vol}_g$$

$P =: \delta d$ (dynamics) d (gauge freedom) δ (constraint)

$D_1 := \delta d + d\delta$ and $D_0 = \delta d$ (auxiliary theories)

K_Σ (gauge freedom for initial data) K_Σ^\dagger (constrained initial data)

HOW TO CONSTRUCT HADAMARD STATES FOR A GAUGE THEORY?

DIFFERENCES WITH SCALAR CASE

- The fiber metric on \mathcal{V}_Σ is not positive definite: $g^{-1}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Lorentzian
- Ψ DO-calculus interact badly with gauge invariance $c^\pm : (\text{ran} K_\Sigma) \circlearrowleft$

\hookrightarrow we fix all gauge-degrees of freedom

DEFINITION: $A = A_0 dt + A_\Sigma$ satisfies **Cauchy radiation gauge** on a Σ if

$$\delta A = 0 \text{ (Lorenz gauge)} \quad \text{and} \quad A_0|_\Sigma = \partial_t A_0|_\Sigma = 0$$

REMARK: On ultrastatic spacetimes, the following gauge are equivalent:

- A satisfies the *Cauchy radiation gauge*;
- A satisfies the *temporal gauge* $A_0 = 0$ and the *Coulomb gauge* $\delta_\Sigma A_\Sigma = 0$;
- The fiber metric g^{-1} reduces to h^{-1} in the Cauchy radiation gauge

$$g^{-1}(A, A) = -(A_0, A_0) + h^{-1}(A_\Sigma, A_\Sigma) = h^{-1}(A_\Sigma, A_\Sigma) \geq 0$$

THEOREM [M.-Schmid]: Let (Σ, h) be **complete** and define the Sobolev space

$$\mathcal{H}^s(\Sigma) = \text{dom}(E^s) \quad \text{where} \quad E := \bar{\Delta} + 1 \quad (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^s} := (E^{\frac{s}{2}} \cdot, E^{\frac{s}{2}} \cdot)_{L^2}$$

\implies the following is a \mathcal{H}^s -orthogonal Hodge-type decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_k^s(M) \cong \text{Har}_k(M) \oplus \overline{\text{ran}(\bar{d})} \oplus \overline{\text{ran}(\bar{\delta})}$$

and any element in $\overline{\text{ran}(\bar{d})} \cap \Omega^k(\Sigma)$ is exact

COROLLARY: $\forall A \in \Omega^1(M)$ with $A|_{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{H}^s(\Sigma) \exists f \in \Omega^0(M)$ with $f|_{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{H}^s(\Sigma)$ such that $A' := A + df$ satisfies *the Cauchy radiation gauge*.

Sketch of the proof: - We decompose $A = A_0 dt + A_{\Sigma}$

- A' satisfies the Cauchy radiation gauge if we can solve the system

$$\begin{cases} D_0 f & = -\delta A \\ \partial_t f|_{\Sigma} & = -A_0|_{\Sigma} \\ \Delta_0 f|_{\Sigma} & = -\delta_{\Sigma} A_{\Sigma}|_{\Sigma} \end{cases}$$

- Hodge-decomposition $A_{\Sigma} = df' + \delta\beta + h \implies \exists f$ solving $\Delta_0 f = -\delta_{\Sigma} A_{\Sigma}$ \square

THE GAUGE-FIXED PHASE SPACE

REMARK: f is unique (up to a constant), so the gauge is fixed completely, *i.e.*

$$\frac{\ker(P)}{\text{ran}(K)} \simeq \ker(D_1) \cap \ker(K^*) \cap \ker(R)$$

where $R = U_1 R_\Sigma \rho_1$ and $R_\Sigma(a_0, \pi_0, a_\Sigma, \pi_\Sigma) := (a_0, \pi_0, 0, 0)$

PROPOSITION: The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{V}_P := \frac{\ker(K^*)}{\text{ran}(P)} & \xrightarrow{[G_1]} & \frac{\ker(P)}{\text{ran}(K|_{\Gamma_R})} \\
 \downarrow [\rho_1 G_1] & \searrow [G_1] & \updownarrow \\
 \mathcal{V}_\Sigma := \frac{\ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)}{\text{ran}(K_\Sigma)} & \xrightarrow{[U_1]} & \frac{\ker(D_1) \cap \ker(K^*)}{K(\ker(D_0))} \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{T}_\Sigma & & \updownarrow \\
 \mathcal{V}_R := \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger) \cap \ker(R_\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{U_1} & \ker(D_1) \cap \ker(K^*) \cap \ker(R)
 \end{array}$$

We next endow \mathcal{V}_R with an Hermitian form $q_{\Sigma,R}$

- Decomposing $A = A_0 dt + A_\Sigma$, we set

$$\rho_0: f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f|_\Sigma \\ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t f|_\Sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1: A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A_0|_\Sigma \\ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t A_0|_\Sigma \\ A_\Sigma|_\Sigma \\ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t A_\Sigma|_\Sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

- By construction $[\rho_1 G_1]: (\mathcal{V}_P, q_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_{1,\Sigma})$ is an unitary isomorphism

$$q_{1,\Sigma}([\cdot], [\cdot]) = i([\cdot], G_{1,\Sigma}[\cdot])_{\mathcal{V}_{\rho_1}} \quad G_{1,\Sigma} = \frac{1}{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- We define $q_{\Sigma,R}$ such that $T_\Sigma: (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_{1,\Sigma}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_R, q_{\Sigma,R})$ is unitary

$$q_{\Sigma,R}(\cdot, \cdot) = i(\cdot G_{\Sigma,R} \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_{\rho_1}} \quad G_{\Sigma,R} = \frac{1}{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Summing up: unitary isomorphisms $(\mathcal{V}_P, q_1) \simeq (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_{1,\Sigma}) \simeq (\mathcal{V}_R, q_{\Sigma,R})$

HOW TO CONTROL THE MICROLOCAL BEHAVIOUR OF T_Σ ?

To compute T_Σ we follow this ansatz

$$T_\Sigma = \mathbb{1} - K_\Sigma(R_\Sigma K_\Sigma)^{-1}R_\Sigma$$

PROPOSITION: Set $\pi_\delta := \mathbb{1} - d_\Sigma \Delta_0^{-1} \delta_\Sigma$. Then the operator defined by

$$T_\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\delta & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_\delta \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies the following properties

- (i) $T_\Sigma = \mathbb{1} - K_\Sigma(R_\Sigma K_\Sigma)^{-1}R_\Sigma$ on $\ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$
- (ii) $T_\Sigma^2 = T_\Sigma$ and $T_\Sigma|_{\mathcal{V}_R} = \mathbb{1}$;
- (iii) $\ker(T_\Sigma) = \text{ran}(K_\Sigma)$;
- (iv) $\text{ran}(T_\Sigma) = \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger) \cap \ker(R_\Sigma)$.

NEW RECIPE FOR CONSTRUCTING HADAMARD STATES

0) By the standard deformation argument, we assume

(M, g) to be ultrastatic and of bounded geometry

1) Using pseudodifferential calculus and spectral calculus, we can construct a square root ϵ_i of the Hodge-Laplacian Δ_i satisfying

$$\epsilon_i \pi_\delta = \pi_\delta \epsilon_i \quad \text{modulo } \Psi^{-\infty}$$

where again $\pi_\delta = \mathbb{1} - d_\Sigma \Delta_0^{-1} \delta_\Sigma$

2) Finally consider the pseudodifferential projectors π^\pm defined by

$$\pi^\pm := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \pm \epsilon_0^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \pm \epsilon_0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} & \pm \epsilon_1^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \pm \epsilon_1 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

THEOREM [M.-Schmid]

The operators $c^\pm : \mathcal{V}_\Sigma := \frac{\ker K_\Sigma^\dagger}{\text{ran} K_\Sigma} \rightarrow L^2(M)$ $c^\pm := T_\Sigma \pi^\pm T_\Sigma$

$$T_\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\delta & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_\delta \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \quad \pi^\pm := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \pm \varepsilon_0^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \pm \varepsilon_0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} & \pm \varepsilon_1^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \pm \varepsilon_1 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

have the following properties:

- (i) $(c^\pm)^\dagger = c^\pm$ and $c^\pm(\text{ran}(K_\Sigma)) \subset \text{ran}(K_\Sigma)$
- (ii) $(c^+ + c^-)f = f \pmod{\text{ran}(K_\Sigma)} \quad \forall f \in \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$
- (iii) $\pm q_{1,\Sigma}(f, c^\pm f) \geq 0 \quad \forall f \in \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$
- (iv) $\text{WF}'(U_1 c^\pm) \subset (\mathcal{N}^\pm \cup F) \times T^*\Sigma$ for $F \subset T^*M$

In other words,

$$\lambda^\pm := \pm i^{-1} U_1 c^\pm \circ (\rho_1 G_1)$$

are the pseudo-covariances of a quasi-free Hadamard state on $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_1)$.

Sketch of the proof

(i) Since $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i^*$ are formally self-adjoint w.r.t the Hodge-inner product on Σ

$$(\pi^\pm)^\dagger = G_{1,\Sigma}^{-1}(\pi^\pm)^* G_{1,\Sigma} = \pi^\pm,$$

Then π^\pm , T_Σ and also c^\pm are formally self-adjoint w.r.t. $\sigma_{1,\Sigma}$.

(ii) $\pi^+ + \pi^- = \mathbb{1}$ on $\Gamma_H^\infty(V_{\rho_1})$ and hence

$$(c^+ + c^-)f = T_\Sigma^2 f = T_\Sigma f = f \pmod{\text{ran}(K_\Sigma)}$$

for all $f \in \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$, where in the last step we used that T_Σ is a bijection between \mathcal{V}_P and \mathcal{V}_Σ together with $T_\Sigma = \mathbb{1}$ on $\ker R_\Sigma$.

(iii) we compute

$$\pm q_{1,\Sigma}(f, c^\pm f) = \pm q_{1,\Sigma}(f, T_\Sigma \pi^\pm T_\Sigma f) = \pm q_{\Sigma,R}(T_\Sigma f, \pi^\pm T_\Sigma f) \geq 0$$

(iv) follows because π^\pm commutes with T_Σ modulo a smooth kernel and π^\pm satisfies the Hadamard condition □

WHAT WE HAVE SEEN AND WHAT COMES NEXT?

MAXWELL'S THEORY:

- Gauge fixing is useful for getting positivity and gauge invariance

FUTURE WORK: LINEARIZED GRAVITY

- Gauge fixing completely the linearized gravity on the level of initial data: *Synchronous, de Donder, traceless-gauge, ...*
- Constructing T_Σ is very challenging from a technical point of view (two-tensors can make life miserable very fast)
- We cannot use the deformation argument, so we need to modify π^\pm such that the operators $c^\pm = T_\Sigma \pi^\pm T_\Sigma$ satisfies the Hadamard conditions

WHAT WE HAVE SEEN AND WHAT COMES NEXT?

MAXWELL'S THEORY:

- Gauge fixing is useful for getting positivity and gauge invariance

FUTURE WORK: LINEARIZED GRAVITY

- Gauge fixing completely the linearized gravity on the level of initial data: *Synchronous, de Donder, traceless-gauge, ...*
- Constructing T_Σ is very challenging from a technical point of view (two-tensors can make life miserable very fast)
- We cannot use the deformation argument, so we need to modify π^\pm such that the operators $c^\pm = T_\Sigma \pi^\pm T_\Sigma$ satisfies the Hadamard conditions

THANKS for your attention!