A PATHWAY TO NON-COMMUTATIVE GELFAND DUALITY

Simone Murro

Department of Mathematics University of Genoa

Operator Algebra Seminar

16 April 2025







MOTIVATIONS

What happen if we localise with arbitrary accuracy on a classical spacetime?

• In classical spacetime, Heisenberg's commutation relations

$$\Delta x_i \Delta p_i \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$
 $\Delta t \Delta E \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$

suggests that localization transfers energy to the system

- $\bullet\,$ The associated energy–momentum tensor generates a gravitational field ${\rm Ric}+(\Lambda-\frac{1}{2}{\rm scal}){\rm g}={\rm T}$
- High energy density induced by localisation might produce a closed horizon

Doplicher, Fredenhagen & Roberts proposed a quantum spacetime subjected to

$$\Delta t \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta x_i \gtrsim \lambda_P^2 \qquad \sum_{i < j=1}^{3} \Delta x_i \Delta_j \gtrsim \lambda_P^2$$

short answer: *non-commutativity!*

Simone Murro (University of Genoa)

MOTIVATIONS



To implement a duality, we need

- 1. a good notion of spectrum Spec : $C^*Alg_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathsf{Top}$
- 2. a good notion of a *noncommutative sheaf* Sh : $Ouv \rightarrow C^*Alg_{\mathbb{C}}$

GOAL of the TALK: DUALITY FOR NON-COMMUTATIVE RINGS

Simone Murro (University of Genoa)

The Non-Commutative Spectrum

PLAN OF THE TALK

(I) PROBLEMS IN CONSTRUCTING THE SPECTRUM

(II) DERIVED GEOMETRY: A NEW HOPE

(III) THE SPECTRUM OF A NON-COMMUTATIVE RING

(IV) FUTURE OUTLOOK

Based on

"Noncommutative Gelfand Duality: the algebraic case" arXiv:2411.11816
j. w. F. Bambozzi (U. Padova) and M. Capoferri (U. Milano/Heriot-Watt U.)
"Noncommutative Gelfand Duality: the analytic case" in preparation
j. w. F. Bambozzi (U. Padova), F. Papallo (U. Genova) and S. Rosarin (U. Genova)

THE GROTHENDIECK SPECTRUM OF A COMMUTATIVE RING

▶ For <u>commutative</u> ring, Grothendieck introduced a *topology* on CRings^{op}

DEFINITION: A Grothendieck topology is the data of *covers* $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$ s.t. 1. if $V \simeq U$, then $\{V \rightarrow U\}$ is a cover;

- 2. for any $V \to U$ and cover $\{U_i \to U\}_i$ then $\{V \times_U U_i \to V\}_i$ is a cover;
- 3. if $\{U_i \to U\}_i$ and $\{V_{ij} \to U_i\}_j$ are covers, then $\{V_{ij} \to U\}_{ij}$ is a cover.

For the prime spectrum of a commutative ring

 $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R} := {\operatorname{prime ideals}} + \operatorname{Zariski topology}$

the topology is defined with this choice of morphisms:

- open localization: $A \rightarrow B$ flat epimorphism of finite presentation
- covers: conservative family of open localization $\{A \rightarrow B_i\}$ i.e. the product functor $Mod_A \rightarrow \prod_i Mod_{B_i}$ is conservative

DIFFICULTIES IN THE NONCOMMUTATIVE FRAMEWORK

► Condition 2. encodes the functoriality of the construction:

for any $A \to C$ and any cover $\{A \to B_i\}_i$ then $\{C \to C \otimes_A B_i\}$ is a cover for C

i in Rings, the *pushout* (free product) does not always preserve flatness *i*

PROBLEM 1: What is a good Grothendieck topology for noncommutative rings?

▶ To bypass the problem, we could try to extend the Grothendieck spectrum



NO-GO THEOREM [Reyes]: It does not exists spectrum functor such that (A) $\operatorname{Spec}^{\operatorname{ext}}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{A})$ for any $\mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{CRings}$ (B) $\operatorname{Spec}^{\operatorname{ext}}(\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{A} = 0$

SMALL DIGRESSION ON THE GELFAND SPECTRUM (work in progress)

▶ the Gelfand spectrum of a unital <u>commutative</u> C^* -algebra is given by

 $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{A} := \{\operatorname{characters}\} + \operatorname{weak} *-\operatorname{topology}$

this time ring epimorphisms correspond to closed embeddings

$$arphi: \mathcal{C}(X) o \mathcal{C}(Y) \simeq rac{\mathcal{C}(X)}{\operatorname{Ker} arphi} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \iota: Y \hookrightarrow X$$

▶ the set of points are epimorphisms $\varphi_x : C(X) \to \mathbb{C}$

 $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_x = \{f \in C(X) | f(x) = 0\} \text{ is a non-unital } C^* Algebra \Leftrightarrow \text{open subsets}$

► the set of epimorphisms forms a complete join semilattice $(\{\varphi_i\}, \leq, \widehat{\otimes}_{C(X)})$ and dually, we get a complete meet semilattice $(\{\iota_i\}, \subseteq, \cap)$ which is bounded \implies coframe of closed sets \implies (comm \mathbb{C}^* -Alg $_{\mathbb{C}}$) $^{\mathrm{op}} \subset$ Top

PROBLEMS for general C^* -Alg_C : 1. Epimorphisms are few.

2. The lattice might not be distributive. 3. Reyes' no-go theorem.

DERIVED GEOMETRY IN A NUTSHELL

How 'to relax' the Zariski topology?

▶ Instead of working with Rings, we should consider its *homotopy category*:

- $\mathsf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathsf{A}\textit{lg}(\mathsf{Ab}, \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\mathsf{M}\textit{od}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ category of modules over $\mathsf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}$
- $Ch^{\leq 0}(Mod_{\mathbb{Z}})$ category of connective complex chain over $Mod_{\mathbb{Z}}$
- $DGA_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq 0} = (Ch^{\leq 0}(Mod_{\mathbb{Z}}), \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}})$ category of dg-algebras, i.e.

 $A^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A^m \to A^{n+m}$ $d(a \otimes b) = d(a) \otimes b + (-1)^n \otimes b$

- lastly we identify dg-algebras with the same homology

 $\mathsf{HRings}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathsf{DGA}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\leq 0}[W^{-1}]$

 \blacktriangleright fully faithful inclusion Rings \hookrightarrow HRings given by

$$R\mapsto R^ullet:=\left(0 o R o 0
ight)$$

▶ HRings_ℤ has *homotopy pushout* computed using *projective resolutions*

$$A^{ullet} st_{\mathbb{Z}}^{ullet} B^{ullet} \simeq (P o A)^{ullet} st_{\mathbb{Z}}^{ullet} (P o B)^{ullet}$$

FORMAL HOMOTOPICAL ZARISKI TOPOLOGY

[Toen-Vezzosi]: A morphism $A \to B$ in CRings is a Zariski localization if and only if it is homotopical epimorphism, i.e. $B \otimes_A^L B \simeq B$, of finite presentation

DEFINITION: We call formal homotopical Zarisky topology in HRings

- open localization: $A \to B$ homotopical epimorphism, i.e. $B *_A^{\mathbb{L}} B \simeq B$
- covers: conservative (finite) family of open localizations $\{A \to B_i\}$ i.e. the product functor $\operatorname{HRings}_A \to \prod_i \operatorname{HRings}_{B_i}$ is conservative

THEOREM: the form. hom. Zar. top. is a Grothendieck topology on HRings^{op}

Is it (mostly) compatible with classical algebraic geometry? YES!

[Chuang-Lazarev]: for a morphism $A \rightarrow B$ in HRings it is equivalent

$$B \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} B \simeq B \iff B *^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} B \simeq B$$

THE SPECTRUM OF A NON-COMMUTATIVE RING

The lattice of homotopical Zariski localizations is not distributive!

How to construct a topological space?

 \blacktriangleright Consider the formal duality functor $\operatorname{Spec}:\mathsf{HRings}\to\mathsf{HRings}^{\operatorname{op}}$

$$A \mapsto B_i$$
 and set $Y_i := \operatorname{Spec}(B_i) X := \operatorname{Spec}(A)$

▶ The localizations define the bounded meet semilattice $(Ouv(X), \cap)$

•
$$\mathsf{Ouv}(X) := \mathsf{Loc}^{\mathrm{op}}$$
 • $Y_i \cap Y_j = Spec(B_i *_A^{\mathbb{L}} B_j)$

▶ Now we follow Jonhstone and 'transform covers to joins':

 $\mathfrak{J}: (\mathsf{Ouv}(X), \cap) \to \mathrm{Frm} \qquad \begin{cases} (\mathsf{i}) \text{ if } s \leq t \text{ and } t \in \mathfrak{J} \Rightarrow s \in \mathfrak{J}; \\ (\mathsf{ii}) \text{ if } \{s_i \to s\} \text{ and } s_i \in \mathfrak{J} \Rightarrow s \in \mathfrak{J} \end{cases}$

DEFINITION: Spec^{NC}(R) is the topological space given by the frame of ideals.

THEOREM: The non-commutative spectrum $\operatorname{Spec}^{\mathbb{NC}}$: $\operatorname{HRings}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \operatorname{Top}$ is functorial.

COMMUTATIVE EXAMPLES I

- ▶ if \mathbb{K} is a field, $\operatorname{Spec}^{\operatorname{NC}}(\mathbb{K}) = \star$
- ▶ if R is a discrete valuation ring, $\operatorname{Spec}^{\mathbb{NC}}(R) = \operatorname{Spec}_{G}(R)$
- \blacktriangleright for the ring of integers $\mathbb Z$

 $\mathsf{Loc}(R) \xleftarrow{1:1} \{\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}], \text{ where } S \text{ is a subset of primes of } \mathbb{Z}\}$

it turns out that $\mathsf{Zar}_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})}$ is a distributive lattice, where

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \wedge \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{T}^{-1}]) &\cong \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{T}^{-1}]) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[(S \cup \mathcal{T})^{-1}]) \\ &\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \vee \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{T}^{-1}]) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[(S \cap \mathcal{T})^{-1}]). \end{split}$$

 $\operatorname{Spec}^{\text{NC}}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ \text{the Stone-Cech compactification of } \mathbb{N} \text{ plus a generic point} \}$

REMARK: asking also *finite presentations* we get $\operatorname{Spec}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z})$ for it is not'suitable' for general noncommutative rings

The Non-Commutative Spectrum

COMMUTATIVE EXAMPLES II

 \blacktriangleright For $\mathbb{K}\oplus\mathbb{K}$, the localizations are two $\pi_i:\mathbb{K}\oplus\mathbb{K}\to\mathbb{K}$

How to check if $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ is a cover for $\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$?

PROPOSITION: $\{A \to B_i\}_i$ is conservative if and only if for every $C \in \text{HRings}_A$ $C \cong 0 \iff C *_A^{\mathbb{L}} B_i \cong 0$ for all *i*.

- $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ is not conservative: $Mat(2, \mathbb{K}) *_{\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{K} \simeq 0$
- ▶ the noncommutative spectrum is $\operatorname{Spec}^{\mathbb{NC}}(\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}) = \{p_1, p_2, p_s\}$



NONCOMMUTATIVE EXAMPLE: path algebra of A_2 quiver

▶ For the path algebra $R = \mathbb{K}A_2$ over \mathbb{K} of the A_2 quiver, the localizations are



- ▶ We have only trivial covers: $\{ \mathrm{Id}_0 \}$, $\{ \mathrm{Id}_{P_1} \}$, $\{ \mathrm{Id}_{P_2} \}$, $\{ \mathrm{Id}_{S_2} \}$, and $\{ \mathrm{Id}_{kA_2} \}$
- ▶ $\operatorname{Spec}^{\operatorname{NC}}(\mathbb{K}A_2)$ is the spectral space



THE FINE NONCOMMUTATIVE SPECTRUM

- \blacktriangleright Often we get trivial covers \Longrightarrow many specialization points
- ▶ Checking if a family of localization forms a cover, it is not easy
- ▶ Not always possible to relate our spectrum with the Grothendieck one

DEFINITION: We call fine formal homotopical Zariski topology

- open localization: $A \to B$ homotopical epimorphism, i.e. $B *_A^{\mathbb{L}} B \simeq B$
- fine covers : $\{A \rightarrow B_i\}$ finite family of open localization s.t.

$$B_j = 0 \iff B_j *_A^{\mathbb{L}} B_i \neq 0$$
 for all *i*.

PROPOSITION: fine spectrum is not functorial, but there are canonical maps

$$\operatorname{Spec}^{\operatorname{NC}}(A) \longleftarrow \operatorname{Spec}^{\operatorname{NC}}_{\operatorname{fine}}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}_{G}(A)$$

- If $A \cong A_1 \times A_2 \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}^{\mathbb{NC}}_{\operatorname{fine}}(A) \cong \operatorname{Spec}^{\mathbb{NC}}_{\operatorname{fine}}(A_1) \coprod \operatorname{Spec}^{\mathbb{NC}}_{\operatorname{fine}}(A_2)$ as Top
- If for A,B are derived Morita equivalent $\Longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}^{\tt NC}_{\rm fine}(A) \cong \operatorname{Spec}^{\tt NC}_{\rm fine}(B)$

THE NONCOMMUTATIVE PRESHEAF

- ► For different rings, we might have the same $Spec^{\mathbb{NC}} \Rightarrow$ we need a sheaf MAIN ISSUE: Given $A \rightarrow B$ locazation,
 - \bullet the natural structure pre-sheaf is not a sheaf on ${\rm Spec}^{\tt NC}_{\rm fine}$
 - $(-) *^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} B$ and $(-) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} B$ are not the same

DEFINITION: We call **noncommutative pre-sheaf** $\mathcal{O}_X : \mathsf{Ouv}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{HRings}_{\mathbb{Z}}$

 $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \mathbb{R} \lim N^{\bullet} \left(\mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \right)$

where $N^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_X(U_i))$ denotes the Čech nerve of the cover

THEOREM

• The following controvariant functor is faithful

 $\overline{\operatorname{Spec}^{\operatorname{NC}}}$: HRings \rightarrow **PreRingSites**

$$\mathsf{A}\mapsto \left(\operatorname{Spec}^{\mathtt{NC}}\!\!(\mathcal{A}),\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}
ight)$$

• Rings is equivalent to a subcategory of the category PreRingSites.

FUTURE OUTLOOK

- ▶ To include C^* -algebras, we need a good raplacement of HRings:
 - $\bullet~\mathrm{Hilb}_\mathbb{C}$ is quasi-abelian category, but not complete nor cocomplete
 - To remedy we consider $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Hilb}_\mathbb{C})$ and we define

$$\mathsf{A}\mathsf{lg}(\mathsf{C}\mathsf{h}^{\leq 0}(\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Hilb}_{\mathbb{C}})),\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

• Following Schneiders, we can identify a class of quasi-isomorphism [W] $\mathrm{HARing}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathrm{Alg}(\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq 0}(\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Hilb}_{\mathbb{C}})),\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathrm{W}^{-1}]$

▶ We can construct a spectrum using the formal homotopical Zarisky topology and using the fine topology, we shall obtain again a map to Gelfand's spectrum

Open questions:

- What is the noncommutative spectrum of the Weyl algebra?
- Is there a relation between irreducible representations and points of Spec^{NO} ?

THANKS for your attention!